



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

[Signature]

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,404	12/17/2001	Michael Wayne Brown	AUS920010840US1	3589
43307	7590	03/08/2005		
IBM CORP (AP)			EXAMINER	
C/O AMY PATTILLO			FERGUSON, KEITH	
P. O. BOX 161327				
AUSTIN, TX 78716			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2683	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/023,404	BROWN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Keith T. Ferguson	2683	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 November 2004.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/28/05</u> | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1,2,4,6-12,15-19,21,23-29,32-35,37-40,42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosinski et al. in view of Kim, newly recited reference.

Regarding claim 1, Rosinski et al. discloses a method (fig. 5) for billing for telephone services (col. 13 line 15 through col. 15 line 28), said method comprising: responsive to receiving a call request (col. 10 lines 20-35), identifying and loading a caller billing plan for an authenticated identity of a caller making said call request (col. 10 lines 20-61 and col. 11 lines 45-65) and a callee billing plan (terminating subscriber agreement) for an authenticated identity (terminating party number) of a callee answering said call request (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 27 and col. 13 line 15 through col. 14 line 27); and responsive to providing access to said billable service with said call request (col. 13 line 15 through col. 14 line 27),

Art Unit: 2683

distributing a cost of said billable service among said caller billing plan and said callee billing plan (col. 14 line 12 through col. 15 line 28), such that both said caller and said callee pay for a benefit received from said billable service (col. 14 line 12 through col. 15 line 28). Rosinski et al. further discloses initiating authentication of said identity of said caller and said callee at an intermediary device (terminating IXC switch) processing said call Request (col. 11 lines 45-65 and col. 13 lines 25-58), receiving said call request from an origin device (originating device) at a service provider (LEC) from an origin line number utilized by said origin device (col. 4 lines 38-42 and col 13 lines 15-53); loading a profile for a line subscriber of said origin line number (col. 5 lines 9-25), wherein said profile comprises a billing plan for said line subscriber (col. 7 lines 9-15); extending a dial tone to said origin device (inherent, as the originating device enters a Pin number taught in col. 10 lines 20-26); authenticating said identity of said caller utilizing said origin device (col. 10 lines 20-26 and col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 28); loading said caller billing plan according to said authenticated identity to replace said billing plan for said line subscriber (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 45); loading a profile for a destination line subscriber of a requested destination line number (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 45 and col. 13 lines 15-53), wherein said profile comprises a billing plan for said destination line subscriber (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 45 and col. 13 lines 15-53); extending a ring signal to a destination device via said destination line number (inherent as the terminating party answer the call, as taught in col. 13 lines 25-53); responsive to detecting an answer at said destination device (col. 13 lines 25-53), and loading said callee billing plan according to said authenticated identity to replace said billing plan for said destination line subscriber col. 13 lines 25-53. Rosinski et al. differs from claim 1 of the present invention in that it does not explicit disclose enabling access to said caller and said callee to a billable service provided by an external service provider access from outside a trusted telephone network initially handling said call request such that both said caller and said callee pay for benefit received from said billable service accessible from said trusted telephone network. Kim teaches a method (fig. 4) wherein a detecting a completion of a call by an on-hook condition, one or more controllers determine whether an alternating billing request is received based upon receipt of touch tone digits by a DTMF detector (fig. 3 number 302) which enables access to a calling

Art Unit: 2683

party (fig. 3 number 101) and a called party (fig. 3 number 106) to an alternating billing service provided by an external IXC switch (fig. 3 number 126) from outside an originating local exchange end office (fig. 3 number 112) initially handling the call connection (fig. 3 number 112 and col. 5 lines 8-48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rosinski et al. with enabling access to said caller and said callee to a billable service provided by an external service provider access from outside a trusted telephone network initially handling said call request such that both said caller and said callee pay for benefit received from said billable service accessible from said trusted telephone network in order to reduce the billing duties and load of the interchange carrier by having a distance exchange handle the billing duties of the calling party and called party for long distance service, as taught by KiM.

Regarding claims 2 and 19, Rosinski et al. discloses a long distance service (col. 4 lines 14-18).

Regarding claims 4 and 21, Rosinski et al. discloses wherein said authenticated identity of said caller is authenticated according to a voice utterance (voice print) provided by said caller (col. 12 lines 28-39).

Regarding claims 6 and 23, Rosinski et al. discloses initiating authentication of said identity of said caller and said callee at an origin device (IXC originating switch) (col. 11 lines 45-65), wherein said origin device is enabled to initiate said call request (col. 11 lines 45-65 and col. 13 lines 25-58).

Regarding claims 8 and 25, Rosinski et al. discloses initiating authentication of said identity of said caller and said callee at a destination device (IXC), wherein said destination device is enabled to receive said call request (col. 11 lines 45-65 and col. 13 lines 25-58).

Regarding claims 9 and 26, Rosinski et al. discloses switching said call request from a first service provider (originating switch or LEC) associated with said caller billing plan to a second service provider (terminating switch or LEC)

Art Unit: 2683

associated with said callee billing plan (destination trigger table) (col. 13 lines 15-37).

Regarding claims 10 and 27, Rosinski et al. discloses said caller is also a line subscriber of a line number (automatic telephone number) (ANI) utilized by said caller to make said call request (col. 10 lines 30-33).

Regarding claims 11 and 28, Rosinski et al. discloses wherein said caller (originating caller number) (ANI) (fig. 3 number 403) is different from a line subscriber of a line number (terminating number) (ANI) (fig. 3 number 401) utilized by said caller to make said call request (col. 13 lines 20-37).

Regarding claims 12 and 29, Rosinski et al. discloses wherein said callee is also a line subscriber of a line number (terminating number utilized by said callee (terminating party) to receive said call request (col. 13 lines 15-45).

Regarding claims 15,32 and 42, Rosinski et al. discloses extending a request to said callee to elect to pay for a portion of said cost of said billable service (col. 6 lines 41-67, col. 9 line 40 through col. 10 line 2 and col. 10 lines 36-61); and billing said callee billing plan according to said payment election (col. 6 lines 41-67, col. 9 line 40 through col. 10 line 2 and col. 10 lines 36-61).

Regarding claims 16,33 and 43, Rosinski et al. discloses distributing said cost of said billable service evenly between said caller billing plan and said callee billing plan (col. 9 line 40 through col. 10 line 2,col. 10 lines 36-61 and col. 13 line 15 through col. 14 line 60).

Regarding claims 17,34 and 44, Rosinski et al. discloses distributing said cost of said billable service to each of said caller billing plan and said callee billing plan according to actual percentage use by each of said caller and said callee (col. 6 lines 41-67, col. 9 line 40 through col. 10 line 2 and col. 10 lines 36-61).

Regarding claim 18, Rosinski et al. discloses a system (fig. 1) for billing for telephone services (col. 2 lines 1-55), said system comprising: a network controller (LEC) (fig.1) ;

Art Unit: 2683

means responsive to receiving a call request (col. 13 lines 15-35), for identifying and loading a caller billing plan for an authenticated identity of a caller (originating) making said call request and a callee (terminating party) billing plan for an authenticated identity of a callee answering said call request at said network controller (col. 10 lines 20-67 and col. 13 line 15 through col. 14 line 27); and means responsive to detecting a billable service with said call request (col. 10 lines 20-67 and col. 13 line 15 through col. 14 line 27), for distributing a cost of said billable service among said caller billing plan and said callee billing plan (col. 10 lines 20-67 and col. 13 line 15 through col. 14 line 27). Rosinski et al. further discloses initiating authentication of said identity of said caller and said callee at an intermediary device (terminating IXC switch) processing said call Request (col. 11 lines 45-65 and col. 13 lines 25-58), receiving said call request from an origin device (originating device) at a service provider (LEC) from an origin line number utilized by said origin device (col. 4 lines 38-42 and col 13 lines 15-53); loading a profile for a line subscriber of said origin line number (col. 5 lines 9-25), wherein said profile comprises a billing plan for said line subscriber (col. 7 lines 9-15); extending a dial tone to said origin device (inherent, as the originating device enters a Pin number taught in col. 10 lines 20-26); authenticating said identity of said caller utilizing said origin device (col. 10 lines 20-26 and col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 28); loading said caller billing plan according to said authenticated identity to replace said billing plan for said line subscriber (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 45); loading a profile for a destination line subscriber of a requested destination line number (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 45 and col. 13 lines 15-53), wherein said profile comprises a billing plan for said destination line subscriber (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 45 and col. 13 lines 15-53); extending a ring signal to a destination device via said destination line number (inherent as the terminating party answer the call, as taught in col. 13 lines 25-53); responsive to detecting an answer at said destination device (col. 13 lines 25-53), and loading said callee billing plan according to said authenticated identity to replace said billing plan for said destination line subscriber col. 13 lines 25-53. Rosinski et al. differs from claim 18 of the present invention in that it does not explicit disclose enabling access to said caller and said callee to a billable service provided by an external service provider access from outside a trusted telephone network initially handling said call request such that both said caller

Art Unit: 2683

and said callee pay for benefit received from said billable service accessible from said trusted telephone network. Kim teaches a method (fig. 4) wherein detecting a completion of a call by an on-hook condition, one or more controllers determine whether an alternating billing request is received based upon receipt of touch tone digits by a DTMF detector (fig. 3 number 302) which enables access to a calling party (fig. 3 number 101) and a called party (fig. 3 number 106) to an alternating billing service provided by an external IXC switch (fig. 3 number 126) from outside an originating local exchange end office (fig. 3 number 112) initially handling the call connection (fig. 3 number 112 and col. 5 lines 8-48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rosinski et al. with enabling access to said caller and said callee to a billable service provided by an external service provider access from outside a trusted telephone network initially handling said call request such that both said caller and said callee pay for benefit received from said billable service accessible from said trusted telephone network in order for the system to reduce the billing duties and load of the interchange carrier by having a distance exchange handle the billing duties of the calling party and called party for long distance service, as taught by Kim.

Regarding claim 35, Rosinski et al. discloses a computer program (fig. 1 number 30) product for billing for telephone services (col. 2 lines 1-55), said computer program product comprising (col. 2 lines 1-55): a recording medium (database) (fig. 1 number 386) means recorded on said recording medium for identifying and loading a caller (originating caller) billing plan for an authenticated identity (pin or retina pattern) of a caller (originator) making a call request and a callee (terminating party) billing plan for an authenticated identity (directory number) (DN or DN trigger) of a callee answering said call request (col. 9 line 40 through col. 10 line 61 and (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 44); and (LEC) means, recorded on said recording medium, for distributing a cost of a billable service for said call request among said caller billing plan and said callee billing plan (col. 9 line 40 through col. 10 line 61 and col. 13 line 15 through col. 14 line 11). Rosinski et al. further discloses (database) (fig. 1 number 386) means, recorded on said recording medium, for initiating authentication of said identity of said caller and said callee at an (LEC) intermediary device processing said call request (col.

Art Unit: 2683

11 line 45 through col. 12 line 44), receiving said call request from an origin device (originating device) at a service provider (LEC) from an origin line number utilized by said origin device (col. 4 lines 38-42 and col 13 lines 15-53); loading a profile for a line subscriber of said origin line number (col. 5 lines 9-25), wherein said profile comprises a billing plan for said line subscriber (col. 7 lines 9-15); extending a dial tone to said origin device (inherent, as the originating device enters a Pin number taught in col. 10 lines 20-26); authenticating said identity of said caller utilizing said origin device (col. 10 lines 20-26 and col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 28); loading said caller billing plan according to said authenticated identity to replace said billing plan for said line subscriber (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 45); loading a profile for a destination line subscriber of a requested destination line number (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 45 and col. 13 lines 15-53), wherein said profile comprises a billing plan for said destination line subscriber (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 45 and col. 13 lines 15-53); extending a ring signal to a destination device via said destination line number (inherent as the terminating party answer the call, as taught in col. 13 lines 25-53); responsive to detecting an answer at said destination device (col. 13 lines 25-53), and loading said callee billing plan according to said authenticated identity to replace said billing plan for said destination line subscriber col. 13 lines 25-53. Rosinski et al. differs from claim 35 of the present invention in that it does not explicit disclose enabling access to said caller and said callee to a billable service provided by an external service provider access from outside a trusted telephone network initially handling said call request such that both said caller and said callee pay for benefit received from said billable service accessible from said trusted telephone network. Kim teaches a method (fig. 4) wherein a detecting a completion of a call by an on-hook condition, one or more controllers determine whether an alternating billing request is received based upon receipt of touch tone digits by a DTMF detector (fig. 3 number 302) which enables access to a calling party (fig. 3 number 101) and a called party (fig. 3 number 106) to an alternating billing service provided by an external IXC switch (fig. 3 number 126) from outside an originating local exchange end office (fig. 3 number 112) initially handling the call connection (fig. 3 number 112 and col. 5 lines 8-48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rosinski et al. with enabling access to said caller and said callee to a

Art Unit: 2683

billable service provided by an external service provider access from outside a trusted telephone network initially handling said call request such that both said caller and said callee pay for benefit received from said billable service accessible from said trusted telephone network in order to reduce the billing duties and load of the interchange carrier by having a distance exchange handle the billing duties of the calling party and called party for long distance service, as taught by Kim.

Regarding claim 37, Rosinski et al. discloses (database) (fig. 1 number 386) means, recorded on said recording medium (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 44), for initiating authentication of said identity of said caller and said callee at an origin device (LEC) (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 44), wherein said origin device (LEC) is enabled to initiate said call request (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 44).

Regarding claim 39, Rosinski et al. discloses (database) (fig. 1 number 386) means, recorded on said recording medium (database) (fig. 1 number 386), for initiating authentication of said identity of said caller and said callee at a (terminating LEC) destination device (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 44), wherein said destination device is enabled to receive said call request (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 44).

Regarding claim 40, Rosinski et al. discloses an Interchange carrier (fig. 1 number 30) means, recorded on said recording medium (fig. 1 number 30), for switching said call request from a first service provider (originating LEC) (fig. 1 numbers 21 and 22) associated with said caller billing plan (fig. 1 number 24) to a second service provider (terminating LEC) (fig. 1 numbers 41 and 42) associated with said callee billing plan (col. 11 line 45 through col. 12 line 44).

4. Claims 3,5,20,22 and 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosinski et al. in view of Kim, newly

Art Unit: 2683

recited reference as applied to claims 1,18 and 35 above and in further view of Swope et al..

Regarding claims 3,20 and 36, the combination of Rosinski et al. and Kim differs from claims 3,20 and 36 of the present invention in that they do not explicit disclose authenticating said identity for said callee utilizing said destination device. Swope et al. teaches a central office which authenticates a called party identification (CIV) (col.7 lines 18-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Rosinski et al. and Kim with authenticating said identity for said callee utilizing said destination device in order to determine if the called party which answers the long distance call is authorized, as taught by Swope et al..

Regarding claims 5 and 22, the combination of Rosinski et al. and Kim differs from claims 5 and 22 of the present invention in that they do not disclose wherein said authenticated identity of said callee is authenticated according to a voice utterance provided by said callee. Swope et al. teaches a called party is prompted for a customer identification and verification (CIV) that includes voice recognition of the called party (col. 7 lines 50-60 and col. 8 lines 6-7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Rosinski et al. and Kim with wherein said authenticated identity of said callee is authenticated according to a voice utterance provided by said callee in order for the LEC to verify the called party of the terminating party if the terminating party has a clause within its plan that if the long distance call is over a specific limit then contact the terminating party first before allocating the long distant call, as taught by Swope et al..

5. Claims 13 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosinski et al. in view Kim as applied to claims 1 and 18 above and in further view of Levy.

Art Unit: 2683

Regarding claims 13 and 13, the combination of Rosinski et al. and Kim differs from claims 13 and 30 of the present invention in that they do not disclose said callee is different from a line subscriber of a line number utilized by said callee to receive said call request. Levy teaches a caller or called party may elect a telephone number of a business to be billed (col. 3 lines 50-54 and col. 4 lines 1-3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Rosinski et al. and Kim with said callee is different from a line subscriber of a line number utilized by said callee to receive said call request in order for the terminating party to bill the call to its business, as taught by Levy.

6. Claims 14,31 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosinski et al. in view of Kim, newly recited reference as applied to claims 1,18 and 35 above and in further view of Swale et al..

Regarding claims 14,31 and 41, the combination of Rosinski et al. and Kim differs from claims 14,31 and 41 of the present invention in that they do not explicit disclose extending a request to said caller to elect to pay for; and billing said caller billing plan according to said payment election. Swale et al. teaches an exchange for extending a request to a caller to elect to pay for (col. 6 lines 41-67); and billing said caller billing plan according to said payment election (col. 7 lines 1-14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Rosinski et al. and Kim with extending a request to said caller to elect to pay for; and billing said caller billing plan according to said payment election in order for the originating caller to decide to pay for the portion of the long distance call, as taught by Swale et al..

7. Claims 7,24 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosinski et al. in view of Kim, newly recited reference as applied to claims 1,18 and 35 above and in further view of Kung et al. newly recited reference.

Regarding claims 7,24 and 38, the combination of Rosinski et al. and Kim differs from claims 7,24 and 38 of the present

Art Unit: 2683

invention in that they do not disclose invoking an authentication service from an external authentication service provider accessed outside said trusted network. Kung et al. teaches a call manager (218) which authenticates the users of system outside the PSTN for multimedia service such as billing and long distance service (paragraph 12 lines 44-58, col. 17 line 1 through col. 18 line 6 and col. 32 lines 42-48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the combination of Rosinski et al. and Kim with invoking an authentication service from an external authentication service provider accessed outside said trusted network in order for the system to determine if the calling party and called party are authorized for preferred long distance service based on a long distance carrier which resides outside the inter exchange carrier which provides cheaper rate for the calling party and called party, as taught by Kung et al..

Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated

Art Unit: 2683

from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith T. Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-4888. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (703) 308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/023,404

Page 14

Art Unit: 2683

Keith Ferguson

Art Unit 2683

February 28, 2005

